



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/536,273	03/27/2000	Steven B. Smith	13660.6	3734
21999 7590 09/19/2008 KIRTON AND MCCONKIE 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE, SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				
EXAMINER				
ADE, OGER GARCIA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3687				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/19/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/536,273

Applicant(s)

SMITH, STEVEN B.

Examiner

GARCIA ADE

Art Unit

3687

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 28-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 28-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No./Mail Date: _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/05/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson [US 6,535,726], in view of Pare, Jr. et al. [US 6,269,348], and further in view of Examiner's Official Notice.

As per claims 28-30, Johnson discloses a system for use in a wireless purchasing environment, wherein an electronic receipt is stored in a purchaser communications device [see figure 2A, read as **fuel dispenser 220**], the system comprising: a credit card or debit card authorization processor [via IKD 130n and see figure 2A: **block 140**]; configured to communicate directly with a vendor to receive requests for authorization and to transmit sales authorization to a vendor [see figure 1A, read as site **communications interface 186** for communicating with other on-site systems]; a vendor device for short range transmission to a

customer of information regarding inventory and pricing, vendor identification, sale amount requests and transmission of requests for authorization directly to the card authorization processor and reception of sales authorization on the same link [see figure 1A, read as an outside **communications interface 182** for communicating with the supporting cellular network 160]; a purchaser device utilizing an a short range communications to communicate with the vendor device [see column 8: lines 39-53], said purchaser communications device configured to transmit, receive and store: sales information, authorization validations and receipt information from the vendor device [see column 4: lines 11 – 19 (e.g. retail site **identification**)], and see paragraph bridging columns 7 and 8 (e.g. **amount or a cost of the fuel dispensed**)].

Johnson discloses all the elements per claimed invention as mention above. Johnson does not explicitly disclose a biometric input device for user identification, which allows the purchaser to request authorization for a purchase. However, Pare discloses a biometric transaction system. Pare also discloses the authorization is transmitted to the vendor for the purchase of an item. It should also be noted Pare discloses a biometric input device [see abstract and summary of the invention].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary art at the time of the invention was made to modify Johnson to include Pare biometric transaction system. Such motivation to Johnson would have been to provide greater security against fraud for the purchaser.

The above combination does not explicitly disclose a long range transmission. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it was well know in the art to do so. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination for using a customer device for long range transmission to a customer of information regarding inventory pricing.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 05/05/2008, 2207 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Johnson reference does not disclose "a vendor device for . . . *long range transmission* of requests for authorization to the card authorization processor and reception of sales authorization on the same link," or "a purchaser device... configured to transmit, receive and store: sales information, authorization validations and receipt information from the vendor device." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Johnson discloses a transaction system that provides enhanced customer convenience and increased transaction security by sending transaction information to a cellular network provider via a customer's digital cellular phone. For example, a fuel dispenser is equipped with a communications link allowing direct communications to a customer's cellular phone. This information is used by the network to authorize a purchase transaction for the customer, such authorization information returned to the fueling station at which the fuel dispenser is located via the same link [see abstract, and see the above rejection regarding the Official Notice].

Johnson discloses a customer's cellular telephone for completing a purchase transaction. The Examiner interprets "*customer's cellular telephone*" as a "*purchaser device*" for completing a purchase transaction. Johnson further discloses that transactions based on cellular communications can provide enhanced security if the cellular phone employs secure digital signaling protocols to communicate with its supporting cellular network.

Therefore, Applicants arguments regarding claims 28-30 are deemed nonpersuasive.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3687

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GARCIA ADE whose telephone number is (571)272-5586. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571.272.3955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Garcia Ade
Examiner
Art Unit 3687

/Vanel Frenel/
Examiner, Art Unit 3687

June 07, 2008

Application Number

Application/Control No.

09/536,273

Examiner

GARCIA ADE

Applicant(s)/Patent under
Reexamination

SMITH, STEVEN B.

Art Unit

3687